SC NAACP v. Alexander, D.S.C. Case No. 3:21-cv-03302-MGL-TJH-RMG

Exhibit D

	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
2	COLUMBIA DIVISION
3	
	THE SOUTH CAROLINA)
4	STATE CONFERENCE OF)
	THE NAACP, et al.,)
5)
)
6	Plaintiffs,) Case No. 3:21-CV-03302-MGL-
) TJH-RMG
7	vs.
)
8	THOMAS C.)
	ALEXANDER, et al.,)
9)
)
10	Defendants.)
)
11	
12	
13	
14	Videotaped Remote Deposition of
15	SENATOR GEORGE EARLE CAMPSEN, III
16	(Taken by Plaintiffs)
17	Isle of Palms, South Carolina
18	Friday, August 5, 2022
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Reported in Stenotype by
	Lauren M. McIntee, RPR, CRR
25	Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription

Page 134

- A. No. They're the ones who rendered those opinions.
- Q. Based on your work as a subcommittee member, did you have any understanding of what test might be needed to assess concerns about racial gerrymandering?
 - A. Yes, I did. Yeah.
 - Q. And what's your understanding --
- A. I wasn't relying on my -- I wasn't relying on my legal opinion because this is not an area of the law that I deal with regularly, so I relied upon them.
- Q. I feel like I could -- I can close out maybe; maybe this question closes out this. It would be fair to say that for any compliance with federal law or the US Constitution, that would have been a question for Mr. Gore or Mr. Terreni?
- A. Yes, ultimately. I mean, you could have a contingent opinion or view or something, but ultimately you -- you go to them and say, what do you -- what do you think? What do we need -- should we do this? Should we not do it? So just a -- it's an ongoing process.
- Q. I now want to move on to Roman Numeral

 Number 3 entitled Additional Considerations. Do you see
 that?
 - A. Yes.